Issues

- THC use & use disorders clearly on rise amongst some groups since legalization (Pacula, Powell, Heaton, & Sevigny, 2014; Sarvet et al., 2018)
- Growing evidence of harmful effects (e.g., Memedovich et al., 2018; Volkow et al., 2014)
- Dearth of evidence-based programs to adress prevention of cannabis problems

Challenges

perceptions:

- Increasing perception that cannabis is benign and/or nonaddictive (Memedovich et al., 2018; Sarvet et., 2018)
- Decreased perception of risk (Sarvet et al., 2018)

legal status:

- Recreational cannabis OK –11 states
- Medical marijuana OK 33 states
- Decriminalization in many municipalities
- Tremendous push to legalize cannabis in remaining states

medical status:

Terms like "prescription" and "CBD" add confusion

One Solution

- Refocus an evidenced-based program
- Target issues unique to cannabis

Initial Testing

Strong acceptability from providers and participants

Important Questions

- How much modification can occur before an evidence-based program is reclassified to a promising practice?
- In a time of implementation science suggesting forms of program evaluation other than traditional RCT's, what is the appropriate evaluation protocol?
- What should the training requisite be for previously-trained providers?

references

Volkow, N. D., Baler, R. D., Compton, W. M., & Weiss, S. R. (2014). Adverse health effects of marijuana use. New England Journal of Medicine, 370(23), 2219-2227.

Memedovich, K. A., Dowsett, L. E., Spackman, E., Noseworthy, T., & Clement, F. (2018). The adverse health effects and harms related to marijuana use: an overview review. CMAJ open, 6(3), E339.

Sarvet, A. L., Wall, M. M., Keyes, K. M., Cerdá, M., Schulenberg, J. E., O'Malley, P. M., ... & Hasin, D. S. (2018). Recent rapid decrease in adolescents' perception that marijuana is harmful, but no concurrent increase in use. Drug and alcohol dependence, 186, 68-74.

Ravi, D., Ghasemiesfe, M., Korenstein, D., Cascino, T., & Keyhani, S. (2018). Associations between marijuana use and cardiovascular risk factors and outcomes: a systematic review. Annals of internal medicine, 168(3), 187-194.

why prime for life 420?

Process

Behavior change isn't just what we learn, but how we experience it. The process must be motivational for someone to reconsider current behaviors. Our goal? Change for a statewide programs (impaired driving)

lifetime, not simply

compliance for the

evaluations

Participant ratings of PFL helpfulness

(% of participants who agree/strongly agree)

80%

drink or use drugs less

86%

developing skills

present.

89%

forming detailed plans

84%

confident about

making changes

military bases

taught in:

drug courts & judicial systems





evidence-based

draws from:

stages of change

motivational interviewing

// lifestyle risk-reduction model

// implementation science

persuasion process





support

PRI provides:

- ongoing training
- expert coaching
- toll-free and web assistance
- online resources/documentation
 - marketing materials
 - regular newsletters

technology

Prime For Life is delivered through a media rich computer application that assists learning and preparation, then guides instructor presentation.







